# Mixed Correlators in 3D Conformal Field Theories

# Alex Atanasov

#### Supervised by: David Poland

May 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



#### Background on CFT and the Conformal Bootstrap

Prior Results in the Space of 3D Ising-Like CFTs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

New Results from 3-Point Symmetry

New Results from "Theta Scan"

Quantum field theory studies the expectation values of products of **quantum fields**, O<sub>i</sub> that transform under the **Poincare group** of symmetries preserving length + angle in spacetime.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Quantum field theory studies the expectation values of products of **quantum fields**, O<sub>i</sub> that transform under the **Poincare group** of symmetries preserving length + angle in spacetime.
- Conformal field theories (CFTs) consist of fields that transform under the larger conformal group of transformations that preserve only angle: Poincare + scalings + inversions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Quantum field theory studies the expectation values of products of **quantum fields**, O<sub>i</sub> that transform under the **Poincare group** of symmetries preserving length + angle in spacetime.
- Conformal field theories (CFTs) consist of fields that transform under the larger conformal group of transformations that preserve only angle: Poincare + scalings + inversions.
- CFT plays a central role in the development of modern physics, appearing in the study of phase transitions, string theory, and dualities in quantum gravity.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Quantum field theory studies the expectation values of products of **quantum fields**, O<sub>i</sub> that transform under the **Poincare group** of symmetries preserving length + angle in spacetime.
- Conformal field theories (CFTs) consist of fields that transform under the larger conformal group of transformations that preserve only angle: Poincare + scalings + inversions.
- CFT plays a central role in the development of modern physics, appearing in the study of phase transitions, string theory, and dualities in quantum gravity.
- A CFT gives rise to correlation functions:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2)\ldots\mathcal{O}_n(x_n)\rangle$$
.

Conversely, these correlators give information about a CFT.

## **Correlation Functions**

 Conformal symmetry constrains the form of all two point correlators

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}}{|x_1-x_2|^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

#### **Correlation Functions**

 Conformal symmetry constrains the form of all two point correlators

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}}{|x_1-x_2|^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}$$

and the three point correlators:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}|x_{23}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}|x_{31}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### **Correlation Functions**

 Conformal symmetry constrains the form of all two point correlators

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\rangle = rac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}}}{|x_1-x_2|^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}$$

and the three point correlators:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1)\mathcal{O}(x_2)\mathcal{O}(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}|x_{23}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}|x_{31}|^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $C_{\mathcal{O}}$  and  $C_{123}$  are constants depending only on the field  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$  is called the **scaling dimension** of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Crossing Symmetry: The Conformal Bootstrap

Operator product expansion (OPE): The product of any two conformal fields can be written as a series of "primary" fields and their derivatives.

# Crossing Symmetry: The Conformal Bootstrap

 Operator product expansion (OPE): The product of any two conformal fields can be written as a series of "primary" fields and their derivatives.

Associativity condition on the 4-point functions:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle = \langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle$$

# Crossing Symmetry: The Conformal Bootstrap

- Operator product expansion (OPE): The product of any two conformal fields can be written as a series of "primary" fields and their derivatives.
- Associativity condition on the 4-point functions:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle = \langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle$$

This constraint, together with the OPE allows us to determine whether a given set of CFT data (field scaling dimensions, etc.) can give rise to an actual CFT.

The Space of 3D Ising-Like CFTs

• In 3D, operators of scaling dimension  $\leq$  3 are called **relevant**.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## The Space of 3D Ising-Like CFTs

- ▶ In 3D, operators of scaling dimension ≤ 3 are called **relevant**.
- The 3D ising model has two relevant operators: σ, ε with scaling dimensions

$$\Delta_{\sigma}=0.5181489, \ \ \Delta_{arepsilon}=1.412625$$

#### The Space of 3D Ising-Like CFTs

- ▶ In 3D, operators of scaling dimension  $\leq$  3 are called **relevant**.
- The 3D ising model has two relevant operators: σ, ε with scaling dimensions

$$\Delta_{\sigma} = 0.5181489, \ \ \Delta_{\varepsilon} = 1.412625$$

Key Question:

#### Are there other 3D "Ising-like" CFTs?

1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

2. One is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -even, one is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd

1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)

- 2. One is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -even, one is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd
- Key question rephrased (open problem):

- 1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)
- 2. One is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -even, one is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd
- Key question rephrased (open problem):

What other values of  $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\varepsilon})$  give rise to valid 3D CFTs?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)
- 2. One is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -even, one is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd
- Key question rephrased (open problem):

What other values of  $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\varepsilon})$  give rise to valid 3D CFTs?

Conjectured answer:

- 1. Two relevant operators (scaling dimensions < 3)
- 2. One is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -even, one is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -odd
- Key question rephrased (open problem):

What other values of  $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\varepsilon})$  give rise to valid 3D CFTs?

Conjectured answer:

Literally none.

#### Method of Attack

Expansions of functions corresponding to the associativity of the correlators ⟨σσσσ⟩, ⟨εεεε⟩, ⟨σσεε⟩ give us the constraints for the CFT.

## Method of Attack

- Expansions of functions corresponding to the associativity of the correlators ⟨σσσσ⟩, ⟨εεεε⟩, ⟨σσεε⟩ give us the constraints for the CFT.
- Essentially, we want to see whether a given series can equal zero

## Method of Attack

- Expansions of functions corresponding to the associativity of the correlators ⟨σσσσ⟩, ⟨εεεε⟩, ⟨σσεε⟩ give us the constraints for the CFT.
- Essentially, we want to see whether a given series can equal zero
- This leads a task in semidefinite programming, implemented by David Simmons-Duffin's 'SDPB'

## **Prior Results**

Using just the associativity conditions on  $\langle \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \rangle^1$ :



#### **Prior Results**



Physics Mathematics Biology Computer Science All Articles

#### **Physicists Uncover Geometric 'Theory Space'**





# **Prior Results**

Using mixed correlators<sup>2</sup>:



<sup>2</sup>Plotted from Aaron Hillman's work last semester  $\Box \rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Xi \rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle$ 

# Enforcing 3 point Symmetry

Using the package 'cboot' that makes use of a further symmetry in the 3-point coefficients  $\lambda_{\sigma\epsilon\sigma} = \lambda_{\sigma\sigma\epsilon}$ :



Not very close to being global...



(a)

э

## Scanning over 3-point Functions

Define  $\tan \theta = \lambda_{\sigma\sigma\epsilon}/\lambda_{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$ . We now scan over all possible  $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\epsilon}, \theta)$ :

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

#### Scanning over 3-point Functions

Define  $\tan \theta = \lambda_{\sigma\sigma\epsilon}/\lambda_{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$ . We now scan over all possible  $(\Delta_{\sigma}, \Delta_{\epsilon}, \theta)$ :



## Scanning over 3-point Functions

Define  $\tan \theta = \lambda_{\sigma\sigma\epsilon}/\lambda_{\epsilon\epsilon\epsilon}$ . Projection to 2D:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

1. Wrote a wrapper to SDPB/cboot that allows for a flexible user interface to check whether a given set of points could contain CFTs.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- 1. Wrote a wrapper to SDPB/cboot that allows for a flexible user interface to check whether a given set of points could contain CFTs.
- 2. Used the Yale HPC cluster to constrain the space of possible CFTs using 3-point symmetry

- 1. Wrote a wrapper to SDPB/cboot that allows for a flexible user interface to check whether a given set of points could contain CFTs.
- 2. Used the Yale HPC cluster to constrain the space of possible CFTs using 3-point symmetry

3. Theta scan now working, and obtained results at  $\Lambda=13$ 

- 1. Wrote a wrapper to SDPB/cboot that allows for a flexible user interface to check whether a given set of points could contain CFTs.
- 2. Used the Yale HPC cluster to constrain the space of possible CFTs using 3-point symmetry

3. Theta scan now working, and obtained results at  $\Lambda=13$ 

Next steps:

- 1. Wrote a wrapper to SDPB/cboot that allows for a flexible user interface to check whether a given set of points could contain CFTs.
- 2. Used the Yale HPC cluster to constrain the space of possible CFTs using 3-point symmetry
- 3. Theta scan now working, and obtained results at  $\Lambda=13$

Next steps:

- 1. See how we can get results using much higher A, e.g.  $\Lambda=30.$
- 2. Trace the size of the region excluded as a function of  $\Lambda \to \infty$